



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/912,434	07/24/2001	Donald Nelson	VTI015A	5963	
22903	7590 11/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
COOLEY GODWARD LLP			HARRISON, CHANTE E		
ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER		2672	13		
RESTON,	RESTON, VA 20190-5061		DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10				
Advisory Action	09/912,434	NELSON ET AL.					
منه	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chante Harrison	2672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averally final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a Ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejecting REPINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate the second control of the sec	on. See MPEP opriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final	opriate extension Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	-					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment-				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	ind an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 5,10 and 17.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-9,11-16,18 and 19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen							
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>					
	•						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's inclusion of claim matter previously rejected under USC 103 in view of commonly assigned prior art into independent claims to overcome the USC 102 rejections of independent claims in view of commonly assigned prior art does not place the claims in condition for allowance in view of USC 103c based on Applicant's CIP priority date which is prior to the effective date for applying the rule of USC 103c.

MICHAEL RAZAVI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600